Urban Safety as Spatial Quality and Spatial Planning and Urban Design Problem - 
Introduction

Urban Space Safety

Safety is man's fundamental psychological need. Since the very beginning of human existence, people had to face tasks to ensure safety for themselves and their beloved ones. Nothing has changed on this fact during human history and this need will certainly persist in the future, although in the context of human evolution there is a progress in the content of safety needs - from the basic ones associated with protection against imminent threats resulting from the nature impacts and thus ensuring basic conditions for survival, through property protection, to ensuring the long-term safety sustainability development.

Even in today's society, where most people live in cities, a man is exposed to different kinds of danger every day. Concentration of people and their activities in cities brought new conflict risks of various functions implemented in the urban area, with increasing anonymity, impeded orientation, dynamics and complexity of interdependencies in the functioning and development of the urban settlements, menace of spreading epidemics, fire hazards, as well as social conflicts, etc. The issues of safety and security have thus not retreated with the urbanisation, on the contrary, they have become particularly important part of life quality.

The new impulse for safety issues in the urban space – i.e. urban safety - were the terrorist attacks in the USA on 11 September, 2001. Not only political scientists agree on the fact that after them (and the subsequent attacks on the civilian population in the European cities of Madrid and London just confirmed this fact), the world has changed even more and the safety issue in the so called western culture becomes even more acute. However, new dimensions related to urban safety also relate to approaching natural resources limits - materials, energy, land and water, with a threat related of inefficient exploitation, unscrupulous interferences in natural environment, global climate change, and food shortages. Large cities and communities, as complex systems, are particularly sensitive and with their quantitative growth and increase in the complexity their vulnerability increases. In these contexts the society has gradually been adjusting its perception of safety and safety moves even higher in real values ranking.

But changes in the system of values in the society also act from the opposite side, as there is change in the perception of a man as a basic reference frame unit for safety assessment in the space. While an “average man” (the so called “Modulor”) served as a model for environment creation and assessment of its safety within architecture and urbanism in the past, today the most “vulnerable” person is considered as the benchmark group and every environment should be addressed to suit it, including claims for safety - thereby ensuring usability for everyone. Furthermore, subjective requirements of each individual conditioned not only by his physical and mental attributes but also by his social and cultural dimensions are taken into account in a much greater extent.

Safety is a broad area that is difficult to be defined precisely by some borders – there are exactly as many kinds of safety as there are various hazards and threats to a man, even if we restrict the area of dangers only to threats of a man and leaving aside, for example, some ecosystem risks. It is therefore important that the safety issue is to be systematically addressed.
Safety Concept

Urban safety is not strictly a new issue, but so far it has been quite little conceptually addressed and there is no single universally applicable and generally acceptable definition, yet. An exact definition, moreover, may vary depending on the country in which the term is used. The expression is composed of two relatively easily understandable words (urban + safety), combination of which, however, leads to formation of a new term whose meaning acquires a wholly new dimension. The general definition of safety or security is no longer sufficient when we discuss the so called urban (city) safety. For this purpose, it is clear that we have to add the “dimension of the city” to the safety/security definition.

Urban safety, or rather public safety, includes a wide range of aspects and activities primarily linked to publicly accessible areas, from crime (prevention) through physical environment safety, accessibility (barrier-free solutions and principles of design for all - "universal design") to institutional and organizational aspects. We define the urban safety (always, unless explicitly stated otherwise) as safety of any kind with respect to a man in any area within the city (urbanised area), where the public has more or less free access without restrictions (i.e. in public spaces, with emphasis on outdoor space), or any kind of man-related safety tied to phenomena and activities in these public areas.

Safety as a Value and Its Reflection in Spatial Planning (Safety Importance in the System of Man’s Values - Why It Is Important to Deal with Safety and What is the Position of Safety in Spatial Planning)

The safety need, as well as the need for psychological well-being, have been essential for man’s life quality. This confirms the position of safety in human needs following the American psychologist Abraham Maslow's hierarchy. Safety is placed here in the second position of importance, immediately after satisfaction of the primary biological-physiological needs. Abraham Maslow lined up the human needs in order of importance, thus creating a pyramid whose base consists of physiological needs (breathing, food, sleep, excretion, thermal comfort), other levels are organised in the order of importance (the lower the level, the more important) and it is the safety, emotional needs, the need for recognition, cognitive needs, needs of aesthetics and needs of fulfillment. According to Maslow's theory the needs of a lower level have to be (at least partially) satisfied if needs of a higher (less important) level shall begin to be fulfilled. From this diagram therefore it is clear how much safety is important for humans and that it is not only the actual physical safety of human existence, but also a very safe feeling (perception of safety as the quality of the environment), which plays an important role for people's mental well-being and behaviour. A man who feels insecure behaves instinctively, irrationally, and such behaviour of a larger group of people (sometimes even the behaviour of an individual) leads to chaos, resulting often in fatal consequences.

Coordination, harmonization, distribution of human activities in space and time and effort for their conflict-free rational cooperation is one of the objectives of the spatial planning discipline. It is therefore clear that safety must begin to be seen as one of the key components of both life quality and space quality for life and as such, it should be a dominant focus of the spatial planning - in collaboration with other disciplines, particularly architecture and urbanism, which are (from the perspective of urban safety) some kind of extended hands of spatial planning.
We assume that people perceive safety rather as part of the system quality of their environment, not as clearly defined quality of their lives (as evidenced by the fact that the concept of "urban safety" has no unambiguous definition). Safety is generally considered to be an integral part of the environment quality that either "is" or "is not" and not the quality based on individual needs, perception and human activity in space. The safety has been much discussed about on general level, however detailed knowledge and seize are lacking. There are principles for the level of safety to be increased or decreased, but there are not explicit rules (instructions) how to do this within the means of expression of architecture and urbanism. The existing knowledge is partial. Generally, the safety issues are underestimated, the emphasis in examining it in the past was rather put on its economic dimension (e.g. risk-analysis in the insurance sector), followed by other aspects equally important for life quality. Currently the spatial planning with its integrative approach to shaping the space quality and the organization of human activities across the system levels and environment components should be the integrant platform for urban safety issues, both in relation to internal synergy of its aspects, as well as in relation to its systemic relations with other aspects of life quality and space for it.

**Safety Classification**

As mentioned above, the very concept of safety is related to several domains related to life within the city organism and safety can be further particularised and subdivided by different criteria. Safety can be classified according to:

- **origin** (e.g. safety situations caused by intentional activity - terrorist act, assault... or safety situations of random origin – e.g. car accident, heavy snow disasters, etc.)

- **spatial dimension** (e.g. safety situation related to a particular area – nightclub, busy crossroad... or without any commitment to a particular area - theft, car crash resulting from driver's carelessness, etc.)

- **time dimension** (e.g. safety situation pertaining to a specific time period - morning traffic jam, night, winter... or without any regard to the time aspect - an accident injury, a random car accident, etc.).

The time dimension of safety can be further sub-divided into:

- everyday safety – (daily) safety situations with the potential hazard of a permanent nature – e.g. areas with higher concentrations of people - bus and train stations, subways, city center, areas in the city attractive for tourists...

- spasmodic safety:  
  - episodic - disposable (safety situation happening once, e.g. scaffolds collapse in a construction site during a building reconstruction ...)
  - periodic (impact situation, but recurring with some frequency, e.g. school year ends, periods of social benefits payment, public holidays, weekends, ...)

All categories and classifications of safety and security should also be considered from two perspectives:

- **volumes and space without human factor** (e.g. building structures safety, historic buildings, medieval walls, natural terrain modifications)

- **“Man” in the environment** - adding a human element to the environment (socialization, crime,
community, human behavior in different situations), threats or rather improving man's safety by another man.

Safety can be further divided according to the perception of the receiver into the following categories:

- **objective safety** = safety whose quality is evaluated (evaluable) on the basis of certain objective data (e.g. casualty statistics, number of criminal acts in an area, etc.)
- **subjective safety** = perceptible, it is individual and unique for every person.

---

**FIG. 1: Basic Division of Urban Safety**

**Options to Measure the Safety Quality**

As the universal way of assessing the state of safety in a city currently does not exist, the aim of this publication is to present the proposal of methodology of how the urban safety can be comprehensively and particularly objectively investigated. In such analyses of urban areas four basic elements should be considered:

- volume-spatial composition, especially public spaces (i.e. street spaces, passages, buildings, parks, greenery areas, sports facilities...)
- the human element - people (taking into account differences in age, sex, social status and their communities...)
- technical elements (transport systems, lighting systems, access control elements, elements of spatial orientation...)
- organizational and institutional elements (neighborhoods, safety and emergency services, civic initiatives, unions and associations, etc.).

But how is it possible to cover such a complex problem as the urban safety within space and time? The first step definitely is a detailed drafting of all elements and factors associated with the safety phenomenon. The second step is to describe all the possible safety/security risks that possibly threaten a man in the urban environment. The third step will then consist of searching mutual synergies (interactions) among the environment characteristics and potential risks. If we are able to achieve that no safety phenomenon and, at the same time, no safety risk will be forgotten, this method should exhaustively and comprehensively cover the issues of urban safety - for contemporary needs. In this way we obtain an objective method that is concurrently also sufficiently an open system that can be entered and flexibly
modified if needed any time. The versatileness of the whole system is essential as the perception of (urban) safety is constantly changing, the majoritarian behaviour of people is also altering, and new threats and risks appear.

The proposed method of assessing urban safety can be simply visualized as a large chart that has been created by the intersection of the vertical (y axis) and the horizontal (x axis) levels. The vertical part has been formed by the space feature holders that make up some quality of the environment. The horizontal part of the chart is a list of those risks we come into contact when dealing with urban safety.

FIG. 2: Simplified Diagram of the Methodology for Assessing Urban Safety

It is obvious that we can find quite a number of space feature holders as well as potential safety/security threats in an area. They need to be logically sorted into major categories in the very first stage. For the field of risks they are the following four ones:

A  Health risks (endangering human physical condition and/or life)
B  Socio-psycho-pathological risks
C  Economic risks and losses on property
D  Energy/resource safety

The field of space feature holders consists of five main categories as follows:
01 Physical structure (volume and space)
02 Functional structure (space function and management, traffic safety)
03 Man and society (social environment) – a man as a passive or active participant of the environment
04 Man as an object of reference (safety for whom)
05 Legislative and institutional environment
DIAGRAM: PROCEDURE TO RESOLVE THE IDENTIFIED PROBLEM OF THE URBAN SAFETY

SOLUTION STRATEGIES
THE REAL CAUSE OF THE PROBLEM(S) IN THE AREA / SPACE

RESOURCES
- activation of resources according to their availability:
  - Internal sources (own)
  - External sources (foreign)

TOOLS (MEASURES)
- determining the availability of appropriate tools and identification of limits of their use:
  - Economic
  - Technical and Technological
  - Organizational
  - Legislative and Institutional
- selection of specific tools for fixing the problem
- design and development of new tools to use in the event of failure and/or impossibility to use currently existing tool
- analysis whether the implementation of a tool will have an impact on other phenomenons within the space / area / city

SOLUTION PROCESS
- determining interventions in relation to temporal and spatial definition of the problem
- aggregating interventions with the objective of mutual conditionality and compliance of problems within the organism of the city (connectivity with other factors / phenomena in space, consideration of possibility for resolving several problems by one measure)
- creation and processing of implementation planning documents: action plans, special programs, projects, procedure manuals:
  - Time schedule
  - Logistical plan, coordination of solutions
  - Financial plan
- Implementation of intervention - realization of measures

INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK
- Management and Coordination Bureau (representation of relevant stakeholders)
- executive - performing agencies (institutions, organizations, subjects responsible for the implementation of measures)
- institutionalization of strategy by reflecting it in the binding integrated plans, standards and regulations of the municipality
- projection of strategy to internal plans, norms, standards of relevant actors

STAKEHOLDERS ACTIVATION
- definition of stakeholders for the various stages of the problem solving:
  1. Initiator for the problem solution
     (e.g. public, Civic community...)
  2. Stakeholders of the preparatory phase of the project (sharing and mutual exchange of information among participating subjects, analytical background, analyses, use of all available data and statistics...)
     (e.g. City Council, ...)
  3. Stakeholders of the phase of implementation of the tool — the executive branch
     (e.g. Authority for maintenance of roads...)
  4. Stakeholders for monitoring and management of the evaluation of modifications (determination of responsibility, penalties with the non-compliance with the agreed rules) — it is recommended that the Stakeholders of the phase of implementation of the tool and the Stakeholders for monitoring and management of the evaluation of modifications are two different entities
     (e.g. City Council, public community...)

MONITORING